

Existence Conditions and the Number of Solutions in Positive Integers (x, y) on an Equation $a^x - b^y = 2$

Nobuo Kobachi*

Abstract Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. We show that an equation $a^x - b^y = 2$ has at most one solution in positive integers (x, y) . Especially, when $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ is satisfied, under certain six conditions, we show an equation $a^x - b^y = 2$ has at most one solution by using “minimal unit”. And, in its proof, we can find existence conditions of solutions.

Keywords: Diophantine equation, Number of solutions, Existence condition, Minimal unit, Quadratic field

1. INTRODUCTION

The existence of solution in positive integers (x, y) on Diophantine equation $a^x - b^y = c$ is studied by many authors. Especially, in the case of $c = 1$, Catalan’s conjecture that if a, b, x and y are positive integers greater than one then $a^x - b^y = 1$ has no solutions except $3^2 - 2^3 = 1$ is well-known. This was proved by P.Mihăilescu in 2002[1]. Let p and q be prime numbers. On the number of solutions, an equation $p^x - q^y = 2^h$, where h is a positive integer, has at most one solution and an equation $p^x - b^y = c$, where b and c are positive integers relative to prime p , has at most one solution (x, y) with $y > 1$ if $b > c$ are shown in [2].

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^\# = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. In this paper, we consider the existence conditions and the number of solutions in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ on an equation

$$(1.1) \quad a^x - b^y = 2.$$

Let $ab \equiv 0, a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $a^x - b^y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ is satisfied. Thus (1.1) has no solutions.

Let $a, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\gcd(a, b) \neq 2$. Then $a^x - b^y \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(a, b)}$ is satisfied. Thus (1.1) has no solutions.

Let $\gcd(a, b) = 2$. If $x, y \geq 2$, then $a^x - b^y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ is satisfied. Thus (1.1) has no solutions in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^\# \times \mathbb{N}^\#$.

Therefore $\gcd(a, b) = 2$ and $(x-1)(y-1) = 0$ are necessary conditions so that (1.1) with $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ has solutions.

Let $x_0 = \log_a(b+2)$, $y_0 = \log_b(a-2)$ if $a \neq 2$ and $y_0 = -\infty$ if $a = 2$. Then the following proposition is satisfied.

Proposition 1 Let $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

* Faculty of Liberal Studies
2627 Hirayama-Shinmachi, Yatsushiro-shi, Kumamoto, 866-8501, Japan

- 1) In the case of $\gcd(a, b) \neq 2$, the equation (1.1) has no solutions.
- 2) In the case of $\gcd(a, b) = 2$, the equation (1.1) has solutions, if and only if $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ or $y_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ is satisfied. And there is a unique solution $(x_0, 1)$ or $(1, y_0)$.

Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $\gcd(a, b) \neq 1$. Then $a^x - b^y \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(a, b)}$ is satisfied. Thus, (1.1) has no solutions.

Therefore $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ is a necessary condition so that (1.1) with $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ has solutions.

We put six conditions as follows;

- (1) $a \equiv 3, b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 1, y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,
- (2) $a \equiv 3, b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 1, y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,
- (3) $a \equiv 1, b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 0, y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,
- (4) $a \equiv 3, b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 0, y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,
- (5) $a \equiv 3, b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 1, y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,
- (6) $a \equiv 1, b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 1, y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Then we can obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2 Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

- 1) If all of the conditions (1)-(6) are not satisfied, the (1.1) has no solutions.
- 2) If one of the conditions (1)-(6) is satisfied, the (1.1) has at most one solution.

Indeed, we can obtain the first half of Proposition 2 from $a^x - b^y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We consider the second half of Proposition 2 in later sections.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we prepare two lemmas. These are used for the proof of Proposition 2 in section 4

Lemma 2.1 Let $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}$. An inequation $a^x \geq 4x + 3$ is satisfied except two cases of $(a, x) = (3, 1), (3, 2)$.

Proof Let $f(x) = a^x - 4x - 3$. Because $f(x)$ is a monotone increasing function and $f(1) = a - 7$ are satisfied, the result is led in the case of $a \geq 7$. In the case of $a = 3$, we have $f(2) < 0, f(3) > 0$. Thus an inequation $3^x \geq 4x + 3$ for $x \geq 3$ is satisfied. □

Lemma 2.2 Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) = 1$, and one of the conditions (1)-(6) be satisfied. Then we put x and y as follows;

- 1) $x = 2m + 1, y = 2n$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$), if condition (1) or (2) is satisfied,
- 2) $x = 2m, y = 2n + 1$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$), if condition (3) or (4) is satisfied,

3) $x = 2m + 1, y = 2n + 1$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$), if condition (5) or (6) is satisfied.

If (x, y) is a solution of (1,1), then two inequations $a^{m+1} > b^n$ and $b^{n+1} > a^m$ are satisfied.

Proof In the case of $x = 2m + 1$ and $y = 2n$, we have $a^{2m+2} > a^{2m+1} > b^{2n}$ and $b^{2n+2} - a^{2m} > b^{2n} - a^{2m} = (a^{2m+1} - 2) - a^{2m} = (a - 1)a^{2m} - 2 > 2(a^{2m} - 1) > 0$. Thus the result is obtained. In the other cases, we can similarly lead the result.

□

3. MINIMAL UNIT

In this section, we define “minimal unit” and we show some properties on “minimal unit”

Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) = 1$. And one of the conditions (1)-(6) is satisfied. Then we put

$$(3.1) \quad k = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if condition (1) or (2) is satisfied,} \\ b, & \text{if condition (3) or (4) is satisfied,} \\ ab, & \text{if condition (5) or (6) is satisfied.} \end{cases}$$

There are $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ that $k = \lambda^2 d$ and d doesn't conclude square numbers. Then we remark that

$d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is satisfied from $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let $\varepsilon = t + u\sqrt{d}$ be a fundamental unit of quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and we

put $\varepsilon^j = t_j + u_j\sqrt{d}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a “minimal unit” $\eta = s + v\sqrt{k}$ is defined as follows.

1) In the case of $\lambda = 1$, let $\eta := \varepsilon = t + u\sqrt{k}$ ($\Leftrightarrow s = t, v = u$).

2) In the case of $\lambda \neq 1$ and $\lambda | u$, let $\eta := \varepsilon = t + (u/\lambda)\sqrt{k}$ ($\Leftrightarrow s = t, v = u/\lambda$).

3) In the case of $\lambda \neq 1$ and $\lambda \nmid u$, there is a number $l \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\lambda | u_l$ and $\lambda \nmid u_j$ for $1 \leq j < l$.

let $\eta := \varepsilon^l = t_l + (u^l/\lambda)\sqrt{k}$ ($\Leftrightarrow s = t_l, v = u_l/\lambda$).

Furthermore, let $\eta^j = s_j + v_j\sqrt{d}$ and $\omega_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2i+1} s^{j-2i-1} (kv^2)^i$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.1 For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the following relations are satisfied.

1) $N(\eta^j) = 1$.

2) $s_j^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$.

3) $v_j = v\omega_j$.

4) $\begin{cases} \omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = 2s \\ \omega_{j+2} = 2s\omega_{j+1} - \omega_j \end{cases}$.

5) $\omega_j \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2}, & \text{if } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \pmod{2}, & \text{if } j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$.

6) $\omega_j \equiv j \pmod{k}$ for $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Proof 1) If $t \equiv u \pmod{2}$ is satisfied, $N(\varepsilon) = t^2 + u^2 d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ is obtained. It's contradictory to $N(\varepsilon) = 1$ or

$N(\varepsilon) = -1$. Thus the case of $t \equiv u \pmod{2}$ doesn't occur. In the rest cases, we have $N(\varepsilon) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ from $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Thus $N(\varepsilon) = 1$ is satisfied. This leads $N(\eta^j) = 1$.

2) From $N(\eta^j) = s_j^2 + kv_j^2 = 1$, we have $s_j^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$.

3) We have $v_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2i+1} s^{j-2i-1} v^{2i+1} k^i = v\omega_j$ by direct calculations.

4) From $\eta^{j+1} = (s + kv)(s_j + v_j\sqrt{k})$, we have $s_{j+1} = ss_j + kvv_j$ and $v_{j+1} = vs_j + sv_j$. These lead $v_{j+2} = 2sv_{j+1} - N(\eta)v_j$. Thus $\omega_{j+2} = 2s\omega_{j+1} - \omega_j$ holds from $N(\eta^j) = 1$ and $v_j = v\omega_j$. And we have $\omega_1 = 1, \omega_2 = 2s$ by easy calculations.

5) This result is obtained from the recurrence formula on the previous item.

6) From $s_j^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$, we have $\omega_j \equiv js^{j-1} \equiv j \pmod{k}$ for $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

□

Lemma 3.2 Relations $k \mid \omega_k$ and $k^2 \nmid \omega_k$ are satisfied.

Proof In the case of $k = 3$, we have $\omega_3 = 18$ from $\eta = \varepsilon = 2 + \sqrt{3}$. Thus $3 \mid \omega_3$ and $3^2 \nmid \omega_3$ are satisfied.

In the case of $k > 3$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \omega_{3k} = 3ks^{3k-1} + k^2 \left\{ 3k^{-1} C_2 s^{3k-3} v^2 + v^4 \sum_{i=2}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} 3k C_{2i+1} s^{3k-2i-1} (kv^2)^{i-2} \right\}.$$

Thus $k \mid \omega_{3k}$ and $k^2 \nmid \omega_{3k}$ is satisfied.

On the other hand, we have $v_{3k} = 3s_k^2 v_k + kv_k^3$ from $\eta^{3k} = (\eta^k)^3 = (s_k + v_k\sqrt{k})^3$. It leads $\omega_{3k} = \omega_k(3s_k^2 + kv_k^2)$ from $v_j = v\omega_j$.

From lemma 5.1, we have $k \mid \omega_k$ and $k \nmid 3s_k^2 + kv_k^2$. Thus $k \mid \omega_k$ and $k^2 \nmid \omega_k$ are satisfied in the case of $k > 3$.

□

Lemma 3.3 For $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following relations are satisfied.

1) $k^n \mid \omega_j$ is equivalent to $k^n \mid j$.

2) $k^n \mid \omega_{k^n}, k^{n+1} \nmid \omega_{k^n}$

Proof From $\eta^{jk^n} = (\eta^{k^n})^j = (s_{k^n} + v_{k^n}\sqrt{k})^j$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_{k^n j} = \omega_{k^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2i+1} s_{k^n}^{j-2i-1} (kv_{k^n}^2)^i.$$

Thus $\omega_{k^n j} / \omega_{k^n} \equiv j \pmod{k}$ is satisfied.

Now we suppose $k^n \mid \omega_{k^n}$ and $k^{n+1} \nmid \omega_{k^n}$ are satisfied. We have the following results;

1) $k^{n+1} \mid \omega_j$ is equivalent to $k^{n+1} \mid j$,

$$2) k^{n+1} | \omega_{k^{n+1}}, k^{n+2} \nmid \omega_{k^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore the results are led by lemma 3.2 and the inductive method.

□

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION

Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) = 1$, and one of the conditions (1)-(6) be satisfied. Furthermore we use the notations defined in section 2 and section 3.

Now we put

$$(4.1) \quad \xi = \frac{a^x + b^y}{2} + \sqrt{a^x b^y} = \frac{a^x + b^y}{2} + a^m b^n \sqrt{k},$$

Then we have

$$N(\xi) = \left(\frac{a^x + b^y}{2} \right)^2 - a^x b^y = \left(\frac{a^x - b^y}{2} \right)^2.$$

If the equation $a^x - b^y = 2$ holds, ξ is a unit of quadratic extended field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Thus there is an odd number j that $v\omega_j = a^m b^n$ is satisfied.

Next let $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ be $\gcd(a, \mu) = \gcd(b, \mu) = 1$. And we put $v = \mu a^{m_0} b^{n_0}$, where $m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Obviously, in the case of $\mu \neq 1$, (1.1) has no solutions. That is, $\mu = 1$ is a necessary condition that (1.1) with $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) \equiv 1$ has any solutions.

Furthermore we put $\omega_j = a^{m-m_0} b^{n-n_0} = a^M b^N$.

We suppose that condition (1) or (2) holds. If $M > 0$ is satisfied, we have $a^M | \omega_j$. It leads $a^M | j$ from lemma 3.3. And, from lemma 2.1, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{j-1}{2} \geq \frac{a^M - 1}{2} \geq \frac{(4M+3)-1}{2} = 2M+1$$

except two cases of $(a, M) = (3, 1), (3, 2)$. Thus, the following inequation is satisfied.

$$(4.3) \quad a^m b^n \geq a^M b^N = w_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{j-1}{2}} C_{2i+1} s^{j-2i-1} (av^2)^i > a^{2M+1} v^2 > a^{2m+1}.$$

Because (4.3) leads $b^n > a^{m+1}$, if (1.1) has solutions under the above conditions, it is contradictory to the result of lemma 2.2. Therefore, if the above conditions hold, a pair $(a, b, x = 2m+1, y = 2n)$ satisfied with $\eta = s + a^m b^n \sqrt{a}$ holds the equation $a^x - b^y = 2$. In the cases of $(a, M) = (3, 0), (3, 1)$ and $(3, 2)$, one pair $(a, b, x, y) = (3, 5, 3, 2)$ holds the equation $a^x - b^y = 2$. Indeed, when $a = 3$ is satisfied, we have $M = m$ from $\eta = \varepsilon = 2 + \sqrt{3}$. Thus its result is obtained by substituting the equation $3^{2m+1} - b^{2n} = 2$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$ directly.

We suppose that condition (3) or (4) holds. Then we similarly obtain a result that one pair $(a, b, x = 2m, y = 2n+1)$ with $\eta = s + a^m b^n \sqrt{b}$ satisfies an equation $a^x - b^y = 2$. And, in the case of $(b, N) = (3, 1), (3, 2)$, An equation $a^x - b^y = 2$ doesn't hold.

We suppose that condition (5) or (6) holds. Then we similarly obtain a result that one pair $(a, b, x = 2m + 1, y = 2n + 1)$ with $\eta = s + a^m b^n \sqrt{ab}$ satisfies an equation $a^x - b^y = 2$.

Thus the second half of Proposition 2 is proved.

Considering the circumstances mentioned above, the following theorem is satisfied. This theorem means existence conditions of solution in (1.1) with $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) = 1$.

Theorem 1 Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) = 1$.

- 1) One pair $(a, b, x, y) = (3, 5, 3, 2)$ satisfies an equation $a^x - b^y = 2$.
- 2) In the case of $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ except $a = 3$, if $\eta = s + a^m b^n \sqrt{a}$ then one pair $(a, b, x = 2m + 1, y = 2n)$ satisfies an equation $|a^x - b^y| = 2$.
- 3) In the case of $ab \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, if $\eta = s + a^m b^n \sqrt{ab}$ then pair $(a, b, x = 2m + 1, y = 2n + 1)$ satisfies an equation $|a^x - b^y| = 2$.

5. APPENDIX

Proposition 3 Let $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $(a, b) = 1$.

- 1) The equation (1.1) can't have two solutions satisfying both condition (3) and (6).
- 2) The equation (1.1) can't have two solutions satisfying both condition (2) and (4).
- 3) The equation (1.1) can't have two solutions satisfying both condition (1) and (5).

Proof 1) Let $a \equiv 1, b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We suppose that there are four numbers $x_1 \equiv 0, y_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ that $a^{x_1} - b^{y_1} = a^{x_2} - b^{y_2} = 2$. The result is led by using 2-adic valuation $v_2(a)$. Now we have $v_2(a - 1) < v_2(a^{x_1} - 1) = v_2(b^{y_1} + 1) = v_2(b + 1)$. On the other hand, we have $v_2(a - 1) = v_2(a^{x_2} - 1) = v_2(b^{y_2} + 1) = v_2(b + 1)$. Thus the contradiction occurs.

2) Let $a \equiv b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We suppose that there are four numbers $x_1, y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $x_2 \equiv y_1 \equiv 1, y_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ that $a^{x_1} - b^{y_1} = a^{x_2} - b^{y_2} = 2$. Then the result is led by using Jacobi symbol $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$.

We have $\left(\frac{2}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{a}\right) = -1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{b}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ from $a^{x_1} - b^{y_1} = 2$. And we have $\left(\frac{2}{a}\right) = -\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{b}\right) = 1$ from $a^{2m_2} - b^{2n_2+1} = 2$. Thus $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1$ is obtained. Therefore $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1$ is satisfied.

However this is contradictory to the quadratic reciprocity law that if $a \equiv b \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = -1$ is satisfied.

3) Let $a \equiv 3, b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We suppose that there are four numbers $x_1 \equiv y_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv 1, y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ that $a^{x_1} - b^{y_1} = a^{x_2} - b^{y_2} = 2$. From $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there is an odd number a' that $a = 2a' + 1$ is satisfied. In the case of $a' > 1$, there is an odd prime number p that $p | a'$ is satisfied. Thus we have $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ from $a = 2a' + 1$.

Therefore $b^{y_1} \equiv b^{y_2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ is obtained from $a^{x_1} - b^{y_1} = a^{x_2} - b^{y_2} = 2$. Thus the contradiction occurs, because $b^{y_1} \equiv b^{y_2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ leads $O_p(b) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, where notation $O_p(b)$ is the order of b modulo a prime p .

Now we put $a' = 1$. That is $a = 3$. We have that only pair $(a, b, x, y) = (3, 5, 3, 2)$ satisfies (1.1) with condition (1) from theorem 1. On the other hand, if $ab = 15$ is satisfied, only one pair $(a, b, x, y) = (5, 3, 1, 1)$ satisfies (1.1) with condition (5). Thus (1.1) can't have two solutions satisfying both condition (1) and (5).

□

Thus, the following theorem is obtained from proposition 1-3.

Theorem 2 Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^\#$. The equation $a^x - b^y = 2$ has at most one solution in positive integers (x, y) .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Y. Motoda[3] was a professor in Yatsushiro National College of Technology. Since he retired, author has been receiving his guidance in algebraic number theory. Author would like to express a gratitude to Y. Motoda for valuable comments in this paper overall.

(Received: Sep. 25, 2015)

(Accepted: Dec. 25, 2015)

References

-
- [1] P.Mihăilescu : Primary Cyclotomic Units and a Proof of Catalan's Conjecture, J.reine angew. Math.572(2004), pp.167-195
 - [2] R.Scott and R.Styer : On $p^x - q^y = c$ and related three term exponentia Diophantine equations with prime bases, J. Number Theory 105(2004), pp.212-234
 - [3] Y.Motoda : Appendix and corrigenda to "Notes on Quartic Fields", Rep. Fac. Sci. Engrg. Saga Univ. Math. 37-1(2008), pp.1-8
 - [4] 高木貞治: 代数の整数論 第2版, 岩波書店(1971)